

The Frequency Agile Solar The Frequency Agile Solar Radiotelecope

T. S. Bastian

NRAO, NJIT, UMd, Berkeley, NSO, Obs. Paris

 \Box $\textcolor{black}{\Box}$ Introduction/background

 \Box Science specifications

 \Box Science program

¤ Data management issues

FASR will be a ground based solar-dedicated radio telescope designed and optimized to produce images over a broad frequency range with

- high angular, temporal, and spectral resolution
- high fidelity and high dynamic range
- As such, FASR will address an extremely broad science program.

An important goal of the project is to mainstream solar radio observations by providing a number of standard data products for use by the wider solar physics community.

The decadal review of the NAS/NRC Solar and Space Physics Survey Committee recently considered priorities for solar, heliospheric, magnetospheric, and ionospheric physics.

>\$400M (large)

Solar Probe

Solar Probe will make the first in-situ measurements inside 0.3 AU, the innermost region of the heliosphere and the birthplace of the heliosphere itself.

\$250-400M (moderate) Magnetospheric Multiscale Mission (MMS) The 4 MMS spacecraft will study the fundamental physical processes that transport, accelerate, and energize plasma in the boundary layers of Earth's magnetosphere.

<\$250M (small) Frequency Agile Solar Radiotelescope (FASR) A multi-frequency (~0.1 - 30 GHz) imaging array composed of $\div 100$ antennas for imaging the Sun with high spectral, spatial, and temporal resolution.

FASR Specifications

Footprint ~6 km Field of View \ge >0.5 deg $20/v₉$ arcsec Angular resolution Polarization Stokes IV(QU) Size antennas LPA, 6 m, 2 m Number antennas ~100 (5000 baselines) 10 ms, 0.1 - 3 GHz 100 ms, 3 - 18 GHz Time resolution 0.1% , 0.1 - 3 GHz 1%, 3 – 18 GHz Frequency resolution Frequency range \sim 0.1-30+ GHz

Array configuration

AC

"self-similar" log spiral

Conway 2000

FASR Key Science

Upward Beams

V Nature & Evolution of Coronal Magnetic Fields Measurement of coronal magnetic fields Temporal & spatial evolution of fields Role of electric currents in corona Coronal seismology

 Flares Energy release Plasma heating Electron acceleration and transport Electron acceleration and transport Origin of SEPs

 $\overline{\bm{\mathsf{E}}}$ Drivers of Space Weather Birth & acceleration of CMEs Prominence eruptions Origin of SEPs Fast solar wind streams

Radio observations offer an abundance of tools for measuring or constraining magnetic fields in the solar corona.

Razin suppression suppression Propagation Propagation Gyrosychrotron Gyrosychrotron Radio bursts Radio bursts emission Gyroresonance -free emission free emission emission

Model active region with s=3 resonance layers superposed for 5, 8, & 15 GHz.

Active region showing strong shear: radio images show high B and very high temperatures

from Lee et al (1998)

Free-Free Opacity

- \blacktriangledown Consider change of chromospheric temperature with height. Consider change of Consider change of chromospheric temperature with chromospheric temperature with height. height. ♦
- ▶ In the absence of a magnetic field, both modes have same • In the absence of a magnetic
field, both modes have same
opacity, so reach τ = 1 at same
height. ♦

 \blacktriangledown

 \blacklozenge

⋗

♦

- \blacklozenge \mid With $B \triangleright$ O, x-mode becomes \mid With $B > 0$, x-mode becomes
optically thick slightly higher in
the chromosphere and so has a
higher brightness temperature.
- The o-mode becomes optically
thick at slightly lower
temperature.

$$
\rho_C = \beta \frac{V_B}{V} \cos \theta \propto B_l \sqrt{\beta = \frac{d \ln T}{d \ln V}}
$$

FIG. 3.—Temperature structure of our models A, C, F, and P. The height is measured in kilometers from the level; the temperature is in kelvins.

Grebinskij et al 2000

Fontenla, Avrett & Loeser (1993)

B~85 G

Figure 5.1. Radio maps of the AR observed on June 09, 1995 using Nobeyama radio heliograph at $\lambda = 1.76$ cm. Contours present the brightness distribution. Maximum in I channel $(T_b = 27 \cdot 10^3 K)$. Maximum in V-channel $T_b^V = 440K$. Maximum degree of polarization $P = 2.8\%$. The region maps are overlapped by gray scale magnetograms. For V-maps they are averaged by the scale of the Nobeyama radio heliograph beam (shown below on the left). The upper V-map present brightness T_b^V , the lower one - percentage $P\%$ of polarization.

Gelfreikh 2003

Nobeyama RH

FASR Key Science

Upward Beams

 \Box Nature & Evolution of Coronal Magnetic Fields Measurement of coronal magnetic fields Temporal & spatial evolution of fields Role of electric currents in corona Coronal seismology

9 Flares Energy release Plasma heating Electron acceleration and transport Electron acceleration and transport Origin of SEPs

 $\overline{\bm{\mathsf{E}}}$ Drivers of Space Weather Birth & acceleration of CMEs Prominence eruptions Origin of SEPs Fast solar wind streams

Physics of Flares

Physical conditions in energy release volume density diagnostics diagnostics of magnetic reconnection

Electron acceleration and transport shock/stochastic/direct acceleration evolution of electron distribution function electron beams trapped populations field connectivity

Magnetic field measurements in flaring volume

Chromospheric evaporation, origin of solar energetic

Aschwanden & Benz 1997

Reverse slope type IIIdm radio bursts

Isliker & Benz 1994

Two ribbon flare observed by the VLA on 17 Jun 89.

6 cm (contours) Ha (intensity)

17 June 1989 High Speed Ha Comera (Intensity) Very Large Array, 6 cm (Contours)

 -4 10 asec

Bastian & Kiplinger (1991)

Electron acceleration via transit time damping

electron distributions

wave spectral density

Miller et al. 1996

Consequences of anisotropic electron distribution function

Fleishman & Melnokov 2003

FASR Key Science

Upward Beams

 \blacktriangledown

 \Box Nature & Evolution of Coronal Magnetic Fields Measurement of coronal magnetic fields Temporal & spatial evolution of fields Role of electric currents in corona Coronal seismology

 Flares Energy release Plasma heating Electron acceleration and transport Electron acceleration and transport Origin of SEPs

Drivers of Space Weather Birth & acceleration of CMEs Prominence eruptions Origin of SEPs Fast solar wind streams

SOHO LASCO

Drivers of Space Weather Study buildup and initiation of CMEs

coronal field diagnostics diagnostics of magnetic reconnection

Study relation between CMEs & flares coronal energy release and transport SXT waves/EIT waves/Moreton waves

Study relation of CMEs and flares to: shocks (type II radio bursts) electron beams (type III radio bursts) solar energetic particles

Study sources of fast solar wind

15 April 2001

Nancay Radioheliograph: 421 MHz

C2: 2001/04/15 14:30:05 EIT: 04/15 14:24:10

Maia et al 2003

SOHO EIT

12 May 1997 "EIT wave"

White et al 2003

FASR Science (cont)

 \Box The "thermal" solar atmosphere Coronal heating - nanoflares Thermodynamic structure & dynamics Formation & structure of filaments

 \square Solar Wind Birth in network Coronal holes Fast/slow wind streams Turbulence and waves

 \Box Synoptic studies Radiative inputs to upper atmosphere Global magnetic field/dynamo Flare statistics

Data Management Issues

 Instrument monitor and control \square Data acquisition Data calibration Data selection/distillation Data reduction \square Data analysis Data dissemination Data archiving

Should we strive to satisfy all or most science requirements whenever observing?

Pros: simplifies operations

Cons: but will sufficient flexibility be built in to satisfy changing science requirements?

Should FASR support a GI program and support user-specified observing modes?

Pros: responsive to changing science requirements; more fully engages users

Cons: complicates operations

What about support of data analysis?

What suite of data products should be routinely produced?

For research needs? For programmatic needs?

The data can take the form of raw visibility data to optimally deconvolved multi-dimensional data cubes: x,y, ^ν,t,S

Some users may wish the data to be presented in terms of maps of physical parameters: B, n_e, T

How should the data be disseminated? Will the NVO/VSO be suitable vehicles? Will the bandwidth be sufficient for most users? Should alternatives be explored?

What sort of data calibration/reduction/analysis burden do we place on the user?

The idea of providing the user with calibrated image data and a suite of software tools for exploring/ mining/modeling the data is appealing, but the option of going to the visibility data should always be available.

Which data must be permanently archived?

Data rates might be as much as 10 Tbytes/day, comparable with ALMA

The data could well be distilled by orders of magnitude (10-100 Gbyte) – i.e., throw away >99% of the data!

Danger: data selection criteria must be robust!