

# The Frequency Agile Solar Radiotelecope

T. S. Bastian

NRAO, NJIT, UMd, Berkeley, NSO, Obs. Paris



Introduction/background

□ Science specifications

□ Science program

Data management issues

FASR will be a ground based solar-dedicated radio telescope designed and optimized to produce images over a broad frequency range with

- high angular, temporal, and spectral resolution
- high fidelity and high dynamic range
- As such, FASR will address an extremely broad science program.

An important goal of the project is to mainstream solar radio observations by providing a number of standard data products for use by the wider solar physics community. The decadal review of the NAS/NRC Solar and Space Physics Survey Committee recently considered priorities for solar, heliospheric, magnetospheric, and ionospheric physics.





#### >\$400M (large)

#### Solar Probe

Solar Probe will make the first in-situ measurements inside 0.3 AU, the innermost region of the heliosphere and the birthplace of the heliosphere itself.



### \$250-400M (moderate)

Magnetospheric Multiscale Mission (MMS) The 4 MMS spacecraft will study the fundamental physical processes that transport, accelerate, and energize plasma in the boundary layers of Earth's magnetosphere.



<\$250M (small) Frequency Agile Solar Radiotelescope (FASR) A multi-frequency (~0.1 - 30 GHz) imaging array composed of ~100 antennas for imaging the Sun with high spectral, spatial, and temporal resolution.



### FASR Specifications



Frequency range ~0.1-30+ GHz 0.1%, 0.1 - 3 GHz Frequency resolution 1%, 3 - 18 GHz Time resolution 10 ms, 0.1 - 3 GHz 100 ms, 3 - 18 GHz Number antennas ~100 (5000 baselines) LPA, 6 m, 2 m Size antennas Stokes IV(QU) Polarization Angular resolution  $20/v_9$  arcsec Footprint ~6 km Field of View >0.5 deg

## Array configuration

AC





"self-similar" log spiral

Conway 2000



## FASR Key Science



emission layers: 11 GHz ==== 8 GHz ==== 11 GHz



 Nature & Evolution of Coronal Magnetic Fields Measurement of coronal magnetic fields Temporal & spatial evolution of fields Role of electric currents in corona Coronal seismology

Flares
 Energy release
 Plasma heating
 Electron acceleration and transport
 Origin of SEPs



Drivers of Space Weather Birth & acceleration of CMEs Prominence eruptions Origin of SEPs Fast solar wind streams Radio observations offer an abundance of tools for measuring or constraining magnetic fields in the solar corona.

Gyroresonance<br/>emissionFree-free emissionGyrosychrotron<br/>emissionRaclio burstsRazin suppressionPropagation



Model active region with s=3 resonance layers superposed for 5, 8, & 15 GHz.

#### Active region showing strong shear: radio images show high B and very high temperatures



from Lee et al (1998)

# Free-Free Opacity

- Consider change of chromospheric temperature with height.
- In the absence of a magnetic field, both modes have same opacity, so reach  $\tau = 1$  at same height.
  - With B > 0, x-mode becomes optically thick slightly higher in the chromosphere and so has a higher brightness temperature.
  - The o-mode becomes optically thick at slightly lower temperature.

$$\rho_C = \beta \frac{v_B}{v} \cos \theta \propto B_l \qquad \beta = \frac{d \ln T}{d \ln v}$$



FIG. 3.—Temperature structure of our models A, C, F, and P. The height is measured in kilometers from the level; the temperature is in kelvins.

Grebinskij et al 2000

Fontenla, Avrett & Loeser (1993)



#### B~85 G

Figure 5.1. Radio maps of the AR observed on June 09, 1995 using Nobeyama radio heliograph at  $\lambda = 1.76 cm$ . Contours present the brightness distribution . Maximum in I channel ( $T_b = 27 \cdot 10^3 K$ ). Maximum in V-channel  $T_b^V = 440 K$ . Maximum degree of polarization P = 2.8%. The region maps are overlapped by gray scale magnetograms . For V-maps they are averaged by the scale of the Nobeyama radio heliograph beam (shown below on the left). The upper V-map present brightness  $T_b^V$ , the lower one - percentage P% of polarization.

#### Gelfreikh 2003



Nobeyama RH

## **FASR Key Science**



emission layers: 11 GHz EII 8 GHz EII 11 GHz



Nature & Evolution of Coronal Magnetic Fields Measurement of coronal magnetic fields Temporal & spatial evolution of fields Role of electric currents in corona Coronal seismology

Flares
 Energy release
 Plasma heating
 Electron acceleration and transport
 Origin of SEPs



Drivers of Space Weather Birth & acceleration of CMEs Prominence eruptions Origin of SEPs Fast solar wind streams

### Physics of Flares

Physical conditions in energy release volume density diagnostics diagnostics of magnetic reconnection

Electron acceleration and transport shock/stochastic/direct acceleration evolution of electron distribution function - electron beams - trapped populations - field connectivity

Magnetic field measurements in flaring volume

Chromospheric evaporation, origin of solar energetic particles, ...



Y. Hanaoka

++++++



Warren et al. 1999



Aschwanden & Benz 1997

### Reverse slope type IIIdm radio bursts



Isliker & Benz 1994



Two ribbon flare observed by the VLA on 17 Jun 89.

### 6 cm (contours) Ha (intensity)

Very Large Array, 6 cm (Contours)

Bastian & Kiplinger (1991)

Electron acceleration via transit time damping

#### electron distributions



### wave spectral density

Miller et al. 1996

#### Consequences of anisotropic electron distribution function



Fleishman & Melnokov 2003

## FASR Key Science



emission layers: 11 GHz ==== 8 GHz ==== 11 GHz



Nature & Evolution of Coronal Magnetic Fields Measurement of coronal magnetic fields Temporal & spatial evolution of fields Role of electric currents in corona Coronal seismology

Flares
 Energy release
 Plasma heating
 Electron acceleration and transport
 Origin of SEPs



Drivers of Space Weather Birth & acceleration of CMEs Prominence eruptions Origin of SEPs Fast solar wind streams

## SOHO LASCO





Drivers of Space Weather

Study buildup and initiation of CMEs coronal field diagnostics diagnostics of magnetic reconnection

Study relation between CMEs & flares coronal energy release and transport SXT waves/EIT waves/Moreton waves

Study relation of CMEs and flares to: shocks (type II radio bursts) electron beams (type III radio bursts) solar energetic particles

Study sources of fast solar wind

### 15 April 2001



#### Nancay Radioheliograph: 421 MHz



C2: 2001/04/15 14:30:05 EIT: 04/15 14:24:10

Maia et al 2003

## SOHO EIT

"EIT wave" 12 May 1997





White et al 2003







### FASR Science (cont)

The "thermal" solar atmosphere Coronal heating - nanoflares Thermodynamic structure & dynamics Formation & structure of filaments

 Solar Wind Birth in network Coronal holes Fast/slow wind streams Turbulence and waves

Synoptic studies
 Radiative inputs to upper atmosphere
 Global magnetic field/dynamo
 Flare statistics



## Data Management Issues

□ Instrument monitor and control Data acquisition Data calibration Data selection/distillation Data reduction Data analysis Data dissemination Data archiving



Should we strive to satisfy all or most science requirements whenever observing?

Pros: simplifies operations

Cons: but will sufficient flexibility be built in to satisfy changing science requirements?



Should FASR support a GI program and support user-specified observing modes?

Pros: responsive to changing science requirements; more fully engages users

Cons: complicates operations

What about support of data analysis?

What suite of data products should be routinely produced?

For research needs? For programmatic needs?

The data can take the form of raw visibility data to optimally deconvolved multi-dimensional data cubes: x,y,v,t,5

Some users may wish the data to be presented in terms of maps of physical parameters: **B**, **n**, **T** 

How should the data be disseminated? Will the NVO/VSO be suitable vehicles? Will the bandwidth be sufficient for most users? Should alternatives be explored? What sort of data calibration/reduction/analysis burden do we place on the user?

The idea of providing the user with calibrated image data and a suite of software tools for exploring/ mining/modeling the data is appealing, but the option of going to the visibility data should always be available. Which data must be permanently archived?

Data rates might be as much as 10 Tbytes/day, comparable with ALMA

The data could well be distilled by orders of magnitude (10-100 Gbyte) – i.e., throw away >99% of the data!

Danger: data selection criteria must be robust!